

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/335,581	06/18/1999	JASON D. BANNAN	2016-4010US2	6638
7590 11/18/2003			EXAMINER	
JOHN P. WHITE			HINES, JANA A	
COOPER & DUNHAM LLP 1185 AVENUE OFTHE AMERICAS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10036			1645	79
		·	DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003	9
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
•	09/335,581	BANNAN ET AL.29			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ja-Na Hines	1645			
The MAILING DATE of this communicati		vith the corresp ndenc address			
Period for Reply	DEDI V 10 OFT TO EVOIDE A	AONTHON FROM			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of the y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>31 July 2002</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 50-55 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 50-55 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doct 2. Certified copies of the priority doct 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for doctoric a specific reference was included in 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign langual 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for doctoric reference was included in the first sentence.	uments have been received. uments have been received in a ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). or a list of the certified copies no comestic priority under 35 U.S.C the first sentence of the specific age provisional application has lo	Application No In received in this National Stage It received. It is \$ 119(e) (to a provisional application) cation or in an Application Data Sheet. It is a provisional application Data Sheet. It is a provisional application Data Sheet. It is a provisional application Data Sheet.			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) 🔲 Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Office Action Vacated

1. Applicant's request for vacating the last Office Action of February 12, 2003 is persuasive and therefore, that action is withdrawn.

Entry of Amendment

- 2. Amendments filed on July 31, 2002 have been entered. Claims 50-55 have been amended. Claims 50-55 with respect to SEQ ID NO:34 **ONLY** are under consideration in this office action.
- 3. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims, claim language or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Drawings

4. Figure 3 refers to sequences without sequence identifying numbers being described within the figure itself or the brief description of the drawings within the specification. Therefore, appropriate correction is requested.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 51-54 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. All of the claims

i

Application/Control Number: 09/335,581

Art Unit: 1645

are drawn to a non-toxic, purified peptide consisting essentially of an amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO:34. Thus, clarification is required to overcome the objection.

Withdrawal of Rejections

- 6. The following rejections have been withdrawn in view of applicants' amendments:
 - a) the rejection of claim 55 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph;
 - b) the rejection of claims 50-55 under 35 U.S.C. 101; and
- c) the rejection of claims 50-55 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting; and
 - d) the rejection of claims 50-55 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b).

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed July 31, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 8. The new matter rejection of claims 50-55 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention is maintained in view of applicants amendments.

Application/Control Number: 09/335,581

Art Unit: 1645

Applicants have amended the specification and claims to include the proviso that the peptide, SEQ ID NO:34 is not *Staphylococcal* enterotoxin B (SEB) or *Staphylococcal* enterotoxin C (SEC) or other native toxin.

Neither the specification nor originally presented claims provides support for the SEQ ID NO:34 peptide not being Staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB) or Staphyylococcal enterotoxin C (SEC) or other native toxin. Applicant has not pointed to support in the specification for the negative limitation of peptide comprising SED ID NO:34. Furthermore, there is no support in the specification that teaches a peptide consisting of the amino acid sequence SEQ ID NO:34. Also, there is no support for a peptide, SEQ ID NO:34 being retained after dialysis to remove molecules with molecular weights of less then 6000-8000 daltons with the proviso that the larger molecule is not SEB or SEC or other native toxin. Applicant has pointed to support at pages 4 and 24 and figure 3 which show that SEB and SEC are identified as being known in the art for containing the 12-mer sequences. However Figure 3 makes no reference to SEQ ID NO:3, rather figure 3 is drawn to a comparison of other synthetic peptides having conversed regions from SEB and SEC. Moreover, neither do page 4 and 24 make reference to SEQ ID NO;34. At page 24 lines 18-19, the specification states that the preferred peptides of the invention are those that exclude full-length native toxin molecules, however the specification teaches the native toxins. The specification only provides for randomly cross-linked polymer peptides, and does not provide support for the specific sequence SEQ ID NO: 34. The genus described by consensus sequences does not inherently provide support for the addition of SEQ ID

Application/Control Number: 09/335,581 Page 5

Art Unit: 1645

NO: 34. Therefore, the amended claims incorporate new matter and the rejection is maintained. rejected.

Applicants asserts that pages 23-24 lines 25-25 exemplifies a polymer peptide having SEQ ID NO:3 as having the capability of being removed by dialysis. However the exemplified peptide is cross-linked to a polymer wherein the polymer peptides are at least 6000 to 8000 daltons. The average size of the exemplified polymer peptides is about 12,000 to 15,000 daltons. The specification further states that small peptides may be removed using dialysis. However, the claims fail to recite cross-linking the peptide to create a peptide, consisting of SEQ ID NO:34 and a polymer that may or may not be removed. Applicants arguments go one to cite quotes which appear to create a sentence but in actually a fragments of sentences over the two pages. The claims fail to recite the cross-linked peptide polymers but rather extend the scope to include any large yet undefined molecule. Thus, applicants fail to show support for such a broad claim, therefore the new matter rejection is maintained.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ja-Na Hines whose telephone number is

703-305-0487. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on 703-308-3909. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 09/335,581

Art Unit: 1645

Page 6

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Ja-Na Hines

October 31, 2003

MARK NAVARRO PRIMARY EXAMINER